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## Side-channel Attacks

Cryptographic device
(e.g., smart card and reader)


## Differential Power Analysis [KJJ99]

Group by predicted SBox output bit

Average trace


## Masking Countermeasure

- Let $x$ be some variable in a block-cipher.
- Masking countermeasure: generate a random $r$, and manipulate the masked value $x^{\prime}$

$$
x^{\prime}=x \oplus r
$$

instead of $x$.

- $r$ is random $\Rightarrow x^{\prime}$ is random
$\Rightarrow$ power consumption of $x^{\prime}$ is random

$\Rightarrow$ no information about $x$ is leaked


## Arithmetic Masking

- Some algorithms use arithmetic operations, for example IDEA, RC6, XTEA, SPECK, SHA-1.
- For these algorithms, we can use arithmetic masking:

$$
x=A+r \bmod 2^{k}
$$

where we manipulate $A$ and $r$ separately.
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- Some algorithms use arithmetic operations, for example IDEA, RC6, XTEA, SPECK, SHA-1.
- For these algorithms, we can use arithmetic masking:

$$
x=A+r \bmod 2^{k}
$$

where we manipulate $A$ and $r$ separately.

- Problem: how do we convert between Boolean and arithmetic masking ?
- Goubin's algorithm (CHES 01): first-order secure conversion between Boolean and arithmetic masking.


## Second-order Attack

- Second-order attack:

- Requires more curves but can be practical
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- Solution: $n$ shares instead of 2 :

$$
x=x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{n}
$$

- Any subset of $n-1$ shares is uniformly and independently distributed
- If we probe at most $n-1$ shares $x_{i}$, we learn nothing about $x$
- $\Rightarrow$ secure against a DPA attack of order $n-1$.
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## Higher-order masking

- High-order Boolean masking:

$$
x=x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus x_{n}
$$

- High-order arithmetic masking:

$$
x=A_{1}+A_{2}+\ldots+A_{n} \bmod 2^{k}
$$

- Problem: how do we convert between Boolean and arithmetic masking ?
- This talk: high-order Boolean to arithmetic conversion algorithm, simpler and more efficient than [Cor17].
- complexity independent of the register size $k$
- still with a proof of security in the ISW probing model


## Prior work and this talk

$n$ : number of shares
$k$ : arithmetic modulo $2^{k}(k=32$ for HMAC-SHA-1).

|  | Direction | $\begin{array}{c}\text { First-order } \\ \text { complexity }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { High-order } \\ \text { complexity }\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Goubin's algorithm } \\ \text { [Gou01] }\end{array}$ | $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathcal{O}(1)$ | - |
| $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ | $\mathcal{O}(k)$ | - |  |
| [CGV14] | $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ |  |  |  |$)$
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- Complexity independent of the register size $k$, as in [Cor17]
- Exponential complexity, but one order of magnitude faster than [CGV14] and [CGTV15] for small values of $n$.

Boolean to arithmetic conversion: comparison with prior work ( $k=32$ bits)


## Comparison with CHES 2017 algorithm

| [Cor17] | $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ | - | $14 \cdot 2^{n}+\mathcal{O}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This talk | $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ | - | $10 \cdot 2^{n}+\mathcal{O}(n)$ |

## Comparison with CHES 2017 algorithm

| [Cor17] | $\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{A}$ | - | $14 \cdot 2^{n}+\mathcal{O}(n)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This talk | $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ | - | $10 \cdot 2^{n}+\mathcal{O}(n)$ |

- Our new algorithm is roughly $25 \%$ faster, and simpler.
[Cor17]


This talk


## Our contribution

- Our contribution: high-order conversion algorithm from Boolean to arithmetic masking
- simplified variant of CHES 2017 algorithm
- still with a proof of security in the ISW probing model.


## Our contribution

- Our contribution: high-order conversion algorithm from Boolean to arithmetic masking
- simplified variant of CHES 2017 algorithm
- still with a proof of security in the ISW probing model.
- Approach initiated by Hutter and Tunstall [HT16] (eprint)
- but no proof of security against high-order attacks was provided by the authors.
- 3rd order attack for any number of shares $n$ described in [Cor17]
- 3rd order attack against updated Hutter-Tunstall algorithm (see the proceedings)
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## ISW security model

- Simulation framework of [ISW03]:

- Show that any $t$ probes can be perfectly simulated from at most $n-1$ of the $s k_{i}$ 's.
- Those $n-1$ shares $s k_{i}$ are initially uniformly and independently distributed.
- $\Rightarrow$ the adversary learns nothing from the $t$ probes, since he could perfectly simulate those $t$ probes by himself.


## Security proofs for side-channel countermeasures

- Never publish a high-order masking scheme without a proof of security !
- So many things can go wrong.
- Many countermeasures without proofs have been broken in the past.
- We have a poor intuition of high-order security.


## Goubin's original conversion algorithm

- Goubin's theorem: the function
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- Goubin's theorem: the function

$$
\Psi(x, r)=(x \oplus r)-r \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)
$$

is affine with respect to $r$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

- This is surprising but true!
- Goubin's Boolean to arithmetic conversion algorithm:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =x_{1} \oplus x_{2} \\
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& =A+x_{2} \quad\left(\bmod 2^{k}\right)
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$$

- One can compute $A$ without leaking information about $x$, thanks to the random $r$.
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- We obtain $n$ arithmetic shares as required.


## Our new algorithm

- We must add some intermediate mask refreshing, otherwise the algorithm would be insecure:



## Proof of Security in the ISW probing model

- We use the $t$-NI and $t$-SNI security definitions introduced by Barthe et al. in [BBD+16]
- This enables to have a modular proof
- We first analyse each gadget separately
- We then compose the gadgets

- See the proof in the ePrint version of the paper.


## Operation count

- Operation count for Boolean to arithmetic conversion algorithms, with $n=t+1$ shares.

| $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow$ A conversion | Security order $t$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| Goubin [Gou01] | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hutter-Tunstall [HT16] |  | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CGV, 32 bits [CGV14] |  | 2098 | 3664 | 7752 | 14698 | 28044 | 39518 | 56344 |
| [Cor17] |  | 55 | 155 | 367 | 1687 | 7039 | 28519 | 114511 |
| Our algorithm |  | 49 | 123 | 277 | 1225 | 5053 | 20401 | 81829 |

- For small orders $t$, [Cor17] and our algorithm are one order of magnitude more efficient than [CGV14].


## Formal Verification

- We have formally verified the security of our countermeasure, using the CheckMasks tool [Cor18]
- Generic verification of masking countermeasures, based on the Common Lisp language
- Source code: https://github.com/coron/checkmasks
- Verification time:

| $n$ | \#var. | \#tuples | Security | Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 14 | 14 | $\checkmark$ | $\varepsilon$ |
| 3 | 39 | 741 | $\checkmark$ | 0.06 s |
| 4 | 94 | 134,044 | $\checkmark$ | 30 s |
| 5 | 207 | $74,303,685$ | $\checkmark$ | 12 h |
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- We have described a new high-order Boolean to arithmetic conversion algorithm.
- Simplified variant of [Cor17], roughly $25 \%$ more efficient.
- Provably secure in the ISW probing model
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## Conclusion

- We have described a new high-order Boolean to arithmetic conversion algorithm.
- Simplified variant of [Cor17], roughly $25 \%$ more efficient.
- Provably secure in the ISW probing model
- Formal verification up to $n=5$
- Complexity: $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ for $n$ shares, independent of the register size $k$.
- Instead of $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{2} \cdot k\right)$ in [CGV14]
- but one order of magnitude faster for small $n$
- Open problem: can we do better than $\mathcal{O}\left(2^{n}\right)$ ?

