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- NTRU schemes require less pseudo-randomness as there is no expansion of uniform public polynomial
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This requires non-power-of-two NTT and non-fully-splitting prime modulus

## Cyclic NTT

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}$ be a primitive $n$-th root of unity, i.e. $\zeta^{n}=1$ but $\zeta^{k} \neq 1$ for $0<k<n$.

$$
\text { For } f \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}-1\right)
$$

$$
\operatorname{NTT}(f)=\left(f\left(\zeta^{0}\right), f\left(\zeta^{1}\right), \ldots, f\left(\zeta^{n-1}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}
$$

defines an isomorphism

In particular, polynomial multiplication/division in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}-1\right)$ translates to coefficientwise multiplication/division in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}^{n}$

## Negacyclic NTT

We want an irreducible defining polynomial $\varphi$ for our ring $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /(\varphi)$

If $n=2^{k}$, then $X^{n}+1$ is the irreducible $2 n$-th cyclotomic polynomial

Some schemes compute twisting map

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}+1\right) \xrightarrow{X \mapsto \zeta X} \mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}-1\right)
$$

and then use the cyclic NTT. This is slightly non-optimal.

## Algebraic Formulation of NTT

If there exists primitive $n$-th root of unity $\zeta$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$, then

$$
X^{n}-1=(X-1)(X-\zeta) \cdots\left(X-\zeta^{n-1}\right)
$$

Now, by the Chinese remainder theorem,

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{n}-1\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /(X-1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X-\zeta^{n-1}\right)
$$
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## Our NTT of Length 768

Let $\mathcal{R}=\mathbb{Z}_{7681}[X] /\left(X^{768}-X^{384}+1\right)$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{Z}_{7681}$ be a primitive 768 -th root of unity

We want to compute

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{7681}[X] /\left(X^{768}-X^{384}+1\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{7681}[X] /\left(X^{3}-\zeta\right) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{7681}[X] /\left(X^{3}-\zeta^{767}\right)
$$

## Splitting Strategy

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{q}[X] /\left(X^{768}-X^{384}+1\right)
$$
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Observe: $\zeta^{\frac{768}{6}}$ is a root of $X^{2}-X+1$. Hence $\zeta^{5 \cdot \frac{768}{6}}=1-\zeta^{\frac{768}{6}}$.
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## Vectorized Implementations of the NTT

Two possibilities to vectorize products with roots of unity on AVX2
(1) Pack only eight 16 bit coefficients in 256 bit registers and leave room for intermediate 32 bit products using instruction vpmulld
(2) Densely pack sixteen 16 bit coefficients in 256 bit registers and compute separate low and high parts of 32-bit products using instructions vpmullw and vpmulhw

We use second approach with a variant of the Montgomery reduction algorithm that naturally handles this representation

## Signed Montgomery Reduction

Hensel remainder of $c$ modulo $q$ : Unique $r$ such that

$$
c=m q+r 2^{16}
$$

We have $r \equiv c 2^{-16}(\bmod q)$

## Algorithm:

(1) Multiply $c$ by $q^{-1}$ modulo $2^{16}$; gives $m$
(2) Multiply $m$ by $q$ and subtract from $c$; gives $r 2^{16}$
(3) Divide by $2^{16}$ (shift right); gives $r$

## Fast Mulmod

For product $c=a b=m q+r 2^{16}$ compute

$$
c=c_{0}+c_{1} 2^{16}
$$

(1) Multiply $c$ by $q^{-1}$ modulo $2^{16}$; gives $m$ Need only low word $c_{0}$ of $c$
(2) Multiply $m$ by $q$ and subtract from $c$; gives $r 2^{16}$ $m q$ and $c$ have equal low word; Sufficient to compute only high word of $m q$ and subtract from high word $c_{1}$ of $c$; This already gives $r$

Further Optimization: If $b$ is precomputable constant, can also precompute $b q^{-1} \bmod 2^{16}$ and skip first reduction step

Full mulmod in $\mathbb{Z}_{q}$ with precomputed constant costing only three half products!

## Results

| Bytes pk/ct | Cycles Key generation | Cycles Signing | Cycles Verification |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1248 | 6431 | 6101 | 7878 |

Measurements performed on Intel Skylake Core i7-6600U CPU

## Possible Tweaks

- Use prime modulus $q=3457$ instead of 7681

Would result in about the same sizes as NTRU HRSS
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- Deterministic noise

